## **A-2 Requirements**

Figure A-1 below provides a comprehensive side-by-side comparison of the requirements for preaward and postaward debriefings.

	PREAWARD DEBRIEFING FAR 15.505	POSTAWARD DEBRIEFING FAR 15.506
Who is Entitled to a Debriefing?	Offerors excluded from the competitive range or otherwise excluded from the competition before award.	Any unsuccessful Offeror who has not had a preaward debriefing.  A successful offeror may also be provided a debriefing.
When Must the Government Conduct a Debriefing?	As soon as practicable after receipt of a timely, written request. However, the PCO may refuse the request for a preaward debriefing if it is not in the best interest of the government to conduct a preaward debriefing. (1) (2)	Within five days, to the maximum extent practicable, after receipt of a timely, written request for a debriefing. (3)
What is a Timely Request?	A request received by the contracting activity within 3 calendar days after the offeror received notice of exclusion from the competition. (4)	A request received by the contracting activity within 3 calendar days after the offeror received notice of contract award. (4)
What Can <u>Not</u> Be Disclosed?	☐ Number of offerors ☐ Identity of other offerors ☐ Content of other offerors ☐ proposals ☐ Ranking of other offerors ☐ Evaluation of other offerors ☐ Point-by-point comparisons of a debriefed offeror's proposal with other proposals ☐ Information prohibited from disclosure by FAR 24.202 or information exempt from release under the FOIA (5)	☐ Point-by-point comparisons of a debriefed offeror's proposal with other proposals. (The ratings of a debriefed offeror and the awardee may be disclosed to the subfactor level without violating this principle.) ☐ Information prohibited from disclosure by FAR 24.202, or information exempt from release under the FOIA. (5)

Legal counsel must be consulted if there is  $\underline{any}$  question regarding the releasability of information

## ☐ The government's evaluation of the significant weaknesses, weaknesses, or deficiencies in the offeror's proposal, if applicable. ☐ The overall evaluated cost/price (include unit prices only if releasable under FOIA, and DO NOT disclose the IGE); technical ☐ The agency's evaluation of rating, if applicable, of the significant elements in the successful offeror and the offeror's proposal (6); debriefed offeror; and past $\prod$ A summary of the rationale for performance information on the eliminating the offeror from the debriefed offeror: competition; $\sqcap$ The overall ranking of all What Should Be $\sqcap$ Reasonable responses to offerors, when any ranking was Discussed? relevant questions about whether developed by the agency during source selection procedures the source selection; contained in the RFP, applicable $\sqcap$ A summary of the rationale for regulations, and other applicable award; authorities were followed in the ☐ For acquisitions of commercial process of eliminating the Offeror items, the make and model of the item to be delivered by the from the competition. successful offeror: and $\sqcap$ Reasonable responses to relevant questions about whether source selection procedures contained in the RFP, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed. $\sqcap$ Other information, as

Figure A-1: Comparison of Preaward and Postaward Debriefings

## **Notes to Figure A-1:**

(1) The offeror may request the debriefing be delayed until after contract award. When delayed, the debriefing shall include all the information provided in a postaward debriefing.

appropriate.

- (2) In the event either the government or offeror delays the debriefing, the PCO must provide the debriefing within the timeframe established for postaward debriefings.
- (3) If an offeror submits an untimely request for debriefing, the PCO may nonetheless conduct a debriefing if feasible. In such case, inform the offeror the request is untimely. **NOTE:** If new information is provided during an untimely debriefing, it may form the basis of a timely protest. Therefore, obtain legal advice prior to providing an untimely debriefing
- (4) Do not count the day the offeror received the notice; start with the next day. Consider sending the notice by mail with return receipt requested or by electronic means (facsimile transmission or email) with immediate acknowledgment requested so that you can easily establish the date the offeror received it.

- (5) Includes such things as trade secrets; privileged or confidential information, e.g., manufacturing processes and techniques, commercial and financial information, and cost data; and the names of individuals providing past performance information. It does not include information otherwise available without restriction to the government or public.
- (6) If the element was significant enough to eliminate the offeror from the competitive range, it is significant for debriefing purposes. Include both positive and negative aspects of the offeror's proposal to help improve future proposals.

## Other Information to Ensure a Meaningful Debriefing

In a postaward debriefing, disclose the evaluation ratings of the debriefed offeror and awardee to the subfactor level of evaluation; and all significant weaknesses, weaknesses, strengths, and deficiencies (if any) of the debriefed offeror's proposal.

Disclose the debriefed offeror's total evaluated prices and the awardee's total evaluated cost/price (include unit prices only if releasable under FOIA, but DO NOT disclose the IGE).

Disclose a summary of the rationale for the contract award decision. The rationale is contained in the SSA's SSDD. Evaluation information concerning the other unsuccessful offerors and information not releasable under FOIA must be redacted prior to release of an SSDD, ensuring no information listed in FAR 15.506(e) is released.

For award of a contract in excess of \$10 million and not in excess of \$100 million with a small business or nontraditional defense contractor, the debrief must include an option for the small business or nontraditional defense contractor to request a redacted copy of the SSDD (Reference DFARS Subpart 215.506(d)(i)).

For all other awards below \$100M, consider furnishing the debriefed offerors with a *redacted* copy of the SSDD.

For award of a contract exceeding \$100M, a redacted copy of the SSDD is required to be furnished to offerors (*Reference DFARS Subpart 215.506(d)(ii)*).

Clearly indicate when the debriefing has been concluded. Formal conclusion of the debriefing begins the protest window.

Parent topic: Appendix A Debriefing Guide