

<?xml encoding="UTF-8">

# Part 48 - Value Engineering

48.000 Scope of part.

48.001 Definitions.

Subpart 48.1 - Policies and Procedures

48.101 General.

48.102 Policies.

48.103 Processing value engineering change proposals.

48.104 Sharing arrangements.

48.104-1 Determining sharing period.

48.104-2 Sharing acquisition savings.

48.104-3 Sharing collateral savings.

48.104-4 Sharing alternative-no-cost settlement method.

48.105 Relationship to other incentives.

Subpart 48.2 - Contract Clauses

48.201 Clauses for supply or service contracts.

48.202 Clause for construction contracts.

**Parent topic:** Federal Acquisition Regulation

## **48.000 Scope of part.**

This part prescribes policies and procedures for using and administering *value engineering* techniques in contracts.

## **48.001 Definitions.**

As used in this part-

*Acquisition savings* means savings resulting from the application of a *value engineering change proposal (VECP)* to contracts awarded by the same *contracting office* or its successor for essentially the same *unit*. *Acquisition savings* include-

(1) *Instant contract savings*, that are the net cost reductions on the contract under which the VECP is submitted and accepted, and that are equal to the *instant unit cost reduction* multiplied by the number of *instant contract units* affected by the VECP, less the contractor's allowable development and implementation costs;

(2) Concurrent contract savings, that are net reductions in the prices of other contracts that are definitized and ongoing at the time the VECP is accepted; and

(3) Future contract savings, that are the product of the *future unit cost reduction* multiplied by the number of future contract *units* in the *sharing base*. On an *instant contract*, future contract savings include savings on increases in quantities after VECP acceptance that are due to *contract modifications*, exercise of *options*, additional orders, and funding of subsequent year requirements on a multiyear contract.

*Collateral costs* means agency costs of operation, maintenance, logistic support, or Government-furnished property.

*Collateral savings* means those measurable net reductions resulting from a VECP in the agency's overall projected *collateral costs*, exclusive of *acquisition savings*, whether or not the *acquisition* cost changes.

*Contracting office* includes any *contracting office* that the *acquisition* is transferred to, such as another branch of the agency or another agency's office that is performing a joint *acquisition* action.

*Contractor's development and implementation costs* means those costs the contractor incurs on a VECP specifically in developing, testing, preparing, and submitting the VECP, as well as those costs the contractor incurs to make the contractual changes required by Government acceptance of a VECP.

*Future unit cost reduction* means the *instant unit cost reduction* adjusted as the *contracting officer* considers necessary for projected learning or changes in quantity during the *sharing period*. It is calculated at the time the VECP is accepted and applies either-

(1) Throughout the *sharing period*, unless the *contracting officer* decides that recalculation is necessary because conditions are significantly different from those previously anticipated, or

(2) To the calculation of a lump-sum payment, that cannot later be revised.

*Government costs* means those agency costs that result directly from developing and implementing the VECP, such as any net increases in the cost of testing, operations, maintenance, and logistics support. The term does not include the normal administrative costs of processing the VECP or any increase in *instant contract* cost or price resulting from *negative instant contract savings*, except that for use in [52.248-3](#), see the definition at [52.248-3\(b\)](#).

*Instant contract* means the contract under which the VECP is submitted. It does not include increases in quantities after acceptance of the VECP that are due to *contract modifications*, exercise of *options*, or additional orders. If the contract is a multiyear contract, the term does not include quantities funded after VECP acceptance. In a fixed-price contract with prospective price redetermination, the term refers to the period for which firm prices have been established.

*Instant unit cost reduction* means the amount of the decrease in *unit* cost of performance (without deducting any contractor's development or implementation costs) resulting from using the VECP on the *instant contract*. In service contracts, the *instant unit cost reduction* is normally equal to the

number of hours per line-item task saved by using the VECP on the *instant contract*, multiplied by the appropriate contract labor rate.

*Negative instant contract savings* means the increase in the *instant contract* cost or price when the acceptance of a VECP results in an excess of the contractor's allowable development and implementation costs over the product of the *instant unit cost reduction* multiplied by the number of *instant contract units* affected.

*Net acquisition savings* means total *acquisition savings*, including instant, concurrent, and future contract savings, less *Government costs*.

*Sharing base* means the number of affected end items on contracts of the *contracting office* accepting the VECP.

*Sharing period* means the period beginning with acceptance of the first *unit* incorporating the VECP and ending at a calendar date or event determined by the *contracting officer* for each VECP.

*Unit* means the item or task to which the *contracting officer* and the contractor agree the VECP applies.

*Value engineering proposal* means, in connection with an A-E contract, a change proposal developed by employees of the Federal Government or contractor *value engineering* personnel under contract to an agency to provide *value engineering* services for the contract or program.

## **Subpart 48.1 - Policies and Procedures**

### **48.101 General.**

(a) *Value engineering* is the formal technique by which contractors *may* (1) voluntarily suggest methods for performing more economically and share in any resulting savings or (2) be required to establish a program to identify and submit to the Government methods for performing more economically. *Value engineering* attempts to eliminate, without impairing essential functions or characteristics, anything that increases *acquisition*, operation, or support costs.

(b) There are two *value engineering* approaches:

(1) The first is an incentive approach in which contractor participation is voluntary and the contractor uses its own resources to develop and submit any *value engineering* change proposals (VECP's). The contract provides for sharing of savings and for payment of the contractor's allowable development and implementation costs only if a VECP is accepted. This voluntary approach *should* not in itself increase costs to the Government.

(2) The second approach is a mandatory program in which the Government requires and pays for a specific *value engineering* program effort. The contractor *must* perform *value engineering* of the scope and level of effort required by the Government's program plan and included as a separately priced item of work in the contract Schedule. No *value engineering* sharing is permitted in architect engineer contracts. All other contracts with a program clause share in savings on accepted VECP's, but at a lower percentage rate than under the voluntary approach. The objective of this *value engineering* program requirement is to ensure that the contractor's *value engineering* effort is applied to areas of the contract that *offer* opportunities for considerable savings consistent with the

functional requirements of the end item of the contract.

## **48.102 Policies.**

(a) As required by 41 U.S.C. 1711, agencies *shall* establish and maintain cost-effective *value engineering* procedures and processes. Agencies *shall* provide contractors a substantial financial incentive to develop and submit VECP's. *Contracting activities* will include *value engineering* provisions in appropriate supply, service, architect-engineer and *construction* contracts as prescribed by 48.201 and 48.202 except where exemptions are granted on a case-by-case basis, or for specific classes of contracts, by the *agency head*.

(b) Agencies *shall*-

(1) Establish guidelines for processing VECP's,

(2) Process VECP's objectively and expeditiously, and

(3) Provide contractors a fair share of the savings on accepted VECP's.

(c) Agencies *shall* consider requiring incorporation of *value engineering* clauses in appropriate subcontracts.

(d)

(1) Agencies other than the Department of Defense *shall* use the *value engineering* program requirement clause (52.248-1, *Alternates I or II*) in initial production contracts for *major system* programs (see definition of *major system* in 34.001) and for contracts for *major systems* research and development except where the *contracting officer* determines and documents the file to reflect that such use is not appropriate.

(2) In Department of Defense contracts, the VE program requirement clause (52.248-1, *Alternates I or II*), *shall* be placed in initial production *solicitations* and contracts (first and second production buys) for *major system acquisition* programs as defined in DoD Directive 5000.1, except as specified in subdivisions (d)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section. A program requirement clause *may* be included in initial production contracts for less than *major systems acquisition* programs if there is a potential for savings. The *contracting officer* is not required to include a program requirement clause in initial production contracts-

(i) Where, in the judgment of the *contracting officer*, the prime contractor has demonstrated an effective VE program during either earlier program phases, or during other recent comparable production contracts.

(ii) Which are awarded on the basis of competition.

(e) *Value engineering* incentive payments do not constitute profit or fee within the limitations imposed by 10 U.S.C. 3322(b) and 41 U.S.C.3905 (see 15.404-4(c)(4)(i)).

(f) Generally, profit or fee on the *instant contract* *should* not be adjusted downward as a result of acceptance of a VECP. Profit or fee *shall* be excluded when calculating instant or future contract savings.

(g) The *contracting officer* determines the *sharing periods* and sharing rates on a case-by-case basis using the guidelines in [48.104-1](#) and [48.104-2](#), respectively. In establishing a *sharing period* and sharing rate, the *contracting officer* must consider the following, as appropriate, and *must* insert supporting rationale in the contract file:

- (1) Extent of the change.
  - (2) Complexity of the change.
  - (3) Development risk (*e.g.*, contractor's financial risk).
  - (4) Development cost.
  - (5) Performance and/or reliability impact.
  - (6) Production period remaining at the time of VECP acceptance.
  - (7) Number of *units* affected.
- (h) Contracts for *architect-engineer services* must require a mandatory *value engineering* program to reduce total ownership cost in accordance with [48.101\(b\)\(2\)](#). However, there *must* be no sharing of *value engineering* savings in contracts for *architect-engineer services*.
- (i) Agencies *shall* establish procedures for funding and payment of the contractor's share of *collateral savings* and future contract savings.

### **48.103 Processing value engineering change proposals.**

(a) Instructions to the contractor for preparing a VECP and submitting it to the Government are included in paragraphs (c) and (d) of the *value engineering* clauses prescribed in [subpart 48.2](#). Upon receiving a VECP, the *contracting officer* or other designated official *shall* promptly process and objectively evaluate the VECP in accordance with agency procedures and *shall* document the contract file with the rationale for accepting or rejecting the VECP.

(b) The *contracting officer* is responsible for accepting or rejecting the VECP within 45 days from its receipt by the Government. If the Government will need more time to evaluate the VECP, the *contracting officer* *shall* notify the contractor promptly *in writing*, giving the reasons and the anticipated decision date. The contractor *may* withdraw, in whole or in part, any VECP not accepted by the Government within the period specified in the VECP. Any VECP *may* be approved, in whole or in part, by a *contract modification* incorporating the VECP. Until the effective date of the *contract modification*, the contractor *shall* perform in accordance with the existing contract. If the Government accepts the VECP, but properly rejects *units* subsequently delivered or does not receive *units* on which a savings share was paid, the contractor *shall* reimburse the Government for the proportionate share of these payments. If the VECP is not accepted, the *contracting officer* *shall* provide the contractor with prompt written notification, explaining the reasons for rejection.

(c) The following Government decisions are unilateral decisions made solely at the discretion of the Government:

- (1) The decision to accept or reject a VECP.
- (2) The determination of *collateral costs* or *collateral savings*.

(3) The decision as to which of the sharing rates applies when Alternate II of the clause at 52.248-1, *Value Engineering*, is used.

(4) The *contracting officer's* determination of the duration of the *sharing period* and the contractor's sharing rate.

#### **48.104 Sharing arrangements.**

##### **48.104-1 Determining sharing period.**

(a) *Contracting officers* must determine discrete *sharing periods* for each VECP. If more than one VECP is incorporated into a contract, the *sharing period* for each VECP need not be identical.

(b) The *sharing period* begins with acceptance of the first *unit* incorporating the VECP. Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this subsection, the end of the *sharing period* is a specific calendar date that is the later of-

(1) 36 to 60 consecutive months (set at the discretion of the *contracting officer* for each VECP) after the first *unit* affected by the VECP is accepted; or

(2) The last scheduled delivery date of an item affected by the VECP under the *instant contract* delivery schedule in effect at the time the VECP is accepted.

(c) For engineering-development contracts and contracts containing low-rate-initial-production or early production *units*, the end of the *sharing period* is based not on a calendar date, but on acceptance of a specified quantity of future contract *units*. This quantity is the number of *units* affected by the VECP that are scheduled to be delivered over a period of between 36 and 60 consecutive months (set at the discretion of the *contracting officer* for each VECP) that spans the highest planned production, based on planning and programming or production documentation at the time the VECP is accepted. The specified quantity begins with the first future contract *unit* affected by the VECP and continues over consecutive deliveries until the *sharing period* ends at acceptance of the last of the specified quantity of *units*.

(d) For contracts (other than those in paragraph (c) of this subsection) for items requiring a prolonged production schedule (*e.g.*, *ship construction*, *major system acquisition*), the end of the *sharing period* is determined according to paragraph (b) of this subsection. Agencies *may* prescribe sharing of future contract savings on all future contract *units* to be delivered under contracts awarded within the *sharing period* for essentially the same item, even if the scheduled delivery date is outside the *sharing period*.

##### **48.104-2 Sharing acquisition savings.**

(a) Supply or service contracts.

(1) The *sharing base* for *acquisition savings* is the number of affected end items on contracts of the *contracting office* accepting the VECP. The sharing rates (Government/contractor) for *net acquisition savings* for *supplies* and *services* are based on the type of contract, the *value engineering* clause or *alternate* used, and the type of savings, as follows:

## Government/Contractor Shares of *Net Acquisition Savings* (Figures in Percent)

| Contract Type   | Sharing Agreement            |                                     |                                 |                                     |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   | Incentive (Voluntary)        |                                     | Program Requirement (Mandatory) |                                     |
|   | <i>Instant contract</i> rate | Concurrent and future contract rate | <i>Instant contract</i> rate    | Concurrent and future contract rate |
| Fixed-price (includes fixed-price-award-fee; excludes other fixed-price incentive contracts)    | *50/50                       | *50/50                              | 75/25                           | 75/25                               |
| Incentive (fixed-price or cost) (other than award fee)  | (**)                         | *50/50                              | (**)                            | 75/25                               |
| Cost-reimbursement (includes cost-plus-award-fee; excludes other cost-type incentive contracts) | ***75/25                     | ***75/25                            | 85/15                           | 85/15                               |

\* The *contracting officer* may increase the contractor's sharing rate to as high as 75 percent for each VECP. (See [48.102\(g\)](#) (1) through (7).)

\*\* Same sharing arrangement as the contract's profit or fee adjustment formula.

\*\*\* The *contracting officer* may increase the contractor's sharing rate to as high as 50 percent for each VECP. (See [48.102\(g\)](#) (1) through (7).)

(2) *Acquisition savings* may be realized on the *instant contract*, concurrent contracts, and future contracts. The contractor is entitled to a percentage share (see paragraph (a)(1)) of any *net acquisition savings*. *Net acquisition savings* result when the total of *acquisition savings* becomes greater than the total of *Government costs* and any *negative instant contract savings*. This may occur on the *instant contract* or it may not occur until reductions have been negotiated on concurrent contracts or until future contract savings are calculated, either through lump-sum payment or as each future contract is awarded.

(i) When the *instant contract* is not an incentive contract, the contractor's share of *net acquisition savings* is calculated and paid each time such savings are realized. This may occur once, several times, or, in rare cases, not at all.

(ii) When the *instant contract* is an incentive contract, the contractor shares in *instant contract*

savings through the contract's incentive structure. In calculating *acquisition savings* under incentive contracts, the *contracting officer shall* add any *negative instant contract savings* to the target cost or to the target price and ceiling price and then offset these *negative instant contract savings* and any *Government costs* against concurrent and future contract savings.

(3) The contractor shares in the savings on all affected *units* scheduled for delivery during the *sharing period*. The contractor is responsible for maintaining, for 3 years after final payment on the contract under which the VECP was accepted, records adequate to identify the first delivered *unit* incorporating the applicable VECP.

(4) Contractor shares of savings are paid through the contract under which the VECP was accepted. On incentive contracts, the contractor's share of concurrent and future contract savings and of *collateral savings shall* be paid as a separate firm-fixed-price *line item* on the *instant contract*.

(5) Within 3 months after concurrent contracts have been modified to reflect price reductions attributable to use of the VECP, the *contracting officer shall* modify the *instant contract* to provide the contractor's share of savings.

(6) The contractor's share of future contract savings *may* be paid as subsequent contracts are awarded or in a lump-sum payment at the time the VECP is accepted. The lump-sum method *may* be used only if the *contracting officer* has established that this is the best way to proceed and the contractor agrees. The *contracting officer* ordinarily *shall* make calculations as future contracts are awarded and, within 3 months after award, modify the *instant contract* to provide the contractor's share of the savings. For future contract savings calculated under the optional lump-sum method, the *sharing base* is an estimate of the number of items that the *contracting officer* will purchase for delivery during the *sharing period*. In deciding whether or not to use the more convenient lump-sum method for an individual VECP, the *contracting officer shall* consider-

(i) The accuracy with which the number of items to be delivered during the *sharing period* can be estimated and the probability of actual production of the projected quantity;

(ii) The availability of funds for a lump-sum payment; and

(iii) The administrative expense of amending the *instant contract* as future contracts are awarded.

(b) *Construction contracts*. Sharing on *construction* contracts applies only to savings on the *instant contract* and to *collateral savings*. The Government's share of savings is determined by subtracting *Government costs* from *instant contract* savings and multiplying the result by (1)45 percent for fixed-price contracts or (2)75 percent for cost-reimbursement contracts. *Value engineering* sharing does not apply to incentive *construction* contracts.

#### **48.104-3 Sharing collateral savings.**

(a) The Government shares *collateral savings* with the contractor, unless the *head of the contracting activity* has determined that the cost of calculating and tracking *collateral savings* will exceed the benefits to be derived (see [48.201\(e\)](#)).

(b) The contractor's share of *collateral savings may* range from 20 to 100 percent of the estimated savings to be realized during a typical year of use but *must* not exceed the greater of-

(1) The contract's firm-fixed-price, target price, target cost, or estimated cost, at the time the VECP



is accepted; or

(2) \$100,000.

(c) The *contracting officer* must determine the sharing rate for each VECP.

(d) In determining *collateral savings*, the *contracting officer* must consider any degradation of performance, service life, or capability.

#### **48.104-4 Sharing alternative-no-cost settlement method.**

In selecting an appropriate mechanism for incorporating a VECP into a contract, the *contracting officer* shall analyze the different approaches available to determine which one would be in the Government's best interest. *Contracting officers* should balance the administrative costs of negotiating a settlement against the anticipated savings. A no-cost settlement *may* be used if, in the *contracting officer's* judgment, reliance on other VECP approaches likely would not be more cost-effective, and the no-cost settlement would provide adequate consideration to the Government. Under this method of settlement, the contractor would keep all of the savings on the *instant contract*, and all savings on its concurrent contracts only. The Government would keep all savings resulting from concurrent contracts placed with other sources, savings from all future contracts, and all *collateral savings*. Use of this method *must* be by mutual agreement of both parties for individual VECPs.

#### **48.105 Relationship to other incentives.**

Contractors *should* be offered the fullest possible range of motivation, yet the benefits of an accepted VECP *should* not be rewarded both as *value engineering* shares and under performance, *design-to-cost*, or similar incentives of the contract. To that end, when performance, *design-to-cost*, or similar targets are set and incentivized, the targets of such incentives affected by the VECP are not to be adjusted because of the acceptance of the VECP. Only those benefits of an accepted VECP not rewardable under other incentives are rewarded under a *value engineering* clause.

## **Subpart 48.2 - Contract Clauses**

#### **48.201 Clauses for supply or service contracts.**

(a) *General*. The *contracting officer* shall insert a *value engineering* clause in *solicitations* and contracts when the contract amount is expected to exceed the *simplified acquisition threshold*, except as specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (5) and in paragraph (f) of this section. A *value engineering* clause *may* be included in contracts of lesser value if the *contracting officer* sees a potential for significant savings. Unless the chief of the *contracting office* authorizes its inclusion, the *contracting officer* shall not include a *value engineering* clause in *solicitations* and contracts-

(1) For research and development other than full-scale development;

(2) For engineering services from not-for-profit or nonprofit organizations;

(3) For personal services (see [subpart 37.1](#));

(4) Providing for product or *component* improvement, unless the *value engineering* incentive application is restricted to areas not covered by provisions for product or *component* improvement;

(5) For *commercial products* (see [part 11](#)) that do not involve packaging specifications or other special requirements or specifications; or

(6) When the *agency head* has exempted the contract (or a class of contracts) from the requirements of this [part 48](#).

(b) *Value engineering incentive*. To provide a *value engineering* incentive, the *contracting officer* shall insert the clause at [52.248-1, Value Engineering](#), in *solicitations* and contracts except as provided in paragraph (a) of this section (but see paragraph (e)(1) of this section).

(c) *Value engineering* program requirement.

(1) If a mandatory *value engineering* effort is appropriate (*i.e.*, if the *contracting officer* considers that substantial savings to the Government *may* result from a sustained *value engineering* effort of a specified level), the *contracting officer* shall use the clause with its AlternateI (but see paragraph (e)(2) of this section).

(2) The *value engineering* program requirement *may* be specified by the Government in the *solicitation* or, in the case of negotiated *contracting*, proposed by the contractor as part of its *offer* and included as a subject for negotiation. The program requirement shall be shown as a separately priced *line item* in the contract Schedule.

(d) *Value engineering* incentive and program requirement.

(1) If both a *value engineering* incentive and a mandatory program requirement are appropriate, the *contracting officer* shall use the clause with its AlternateII (but see paragraph (e)(3) of this section).

(2) The contract shall restrict the *value engineering* program requirement to well-defined areas of performance designated by *line item* in the contract Schedule. AlternateII applies a *value engineering* program to the specified areas and a *value engineering* incentive to the remaining areas of the contract.

(e) *Collateral savings computation not cost-effective*. If the *head of the contracting activity* determines for a contract or class of contracts that the cost of computing and tracking *collateral savings* will exceed the benefits to be derived, the *contracting officer* shall use the clause with its-

(1) AlternateIII if a *value engineering* incentive is involved;

(2) AlternateIII and AlternateI if a *value engineering* program requirement is involved; or

(3) AlternateIII and AlternateII if both an incentive and a program requirement are involved.

(f) *Architect-engineer contracts*. The *contracting officer* shall insert the clause at [52.248-2, Value Engineering Architect-Engineer](#), in *solicitations* and contracts whenever the Government requires and pays for a specific *value engineering* effort in architect-engineer contracts. The clause at [52.248-1, Value Engineering](#), shall not be used in *solicitations* and contracts for *architect-engineer services*.

(g) *Engineering-development solicitations and contracts.* For engineering-development *solicitations* and contracts, and *solicitations* and contracts containing low-rate-initial-production or early production *units*, the *contracting officer* must modify the clause at [52.248-1](#), *Value Engineering*, by-

(1) Revising paragraph (i)(3)(i) of the clause by substituting "a number equal to the quantity required to be delivered over a period of between 36 and 60 consecutive months (set at the discretion of the *Contracting Officer* for each VECP) that spans the highest planned production, based on planning and programming or production documentation at the time the VECP is accepted;" for "the number of future contract *units* scheduled for delivery during the *sharing period*;" and

(2) Revising the first sentence under paragraph (3) of the definition of "*acquisition savings*" by substituting "a number equal to the quantity to be delivered over a period of between 36 and 60 consecutive months (set at the discretion of the *Contracting Officer* for each VECP) that spans the highest planned production, based on planning and programming or production documentation at the time the VECP is accepted." for "the number of future contract *units* in the *sharing base*."

(h) *Extended production period solicitations and contracts.* In *solicitations* and contracts for items requiring an extended period for production (*e.g.*, ship construction, major system acquisition), if agency procedures prescribe sharing of future contract savings on all *units* to be delivered under contracts awarded during the *sharing period* (see [48.104-1\(c\)](#)), the *contracting officer* must modify the clause at [52.248-1](#), *Value Engineering*, by revising paragraph (i)(3)(i) of the clause and the first sentence under paragraph (3) of the definition of "*acquisition savings*" by substituting "under contracts awarded during the *sharing period*" for "during the *sharing period*."

#### **48.202 Clause for construction contracts.**

The *contracting officer* shall insert the clause at [52.248-3](#), *Value Engineering-Construction*, in *construction solicitations* and contracts when the contract amount is estimated to exceed the *simplified acquisition threshold*, unless an incentive contract is contemplated. The *contracting officer* may include the clause in contracts of lesser value if the *contracting officer* sees a potential for significant savings. The *contracting officer* shall not include the clause in incentive-type *construction* contracts. If the *head of the contracting activity* determines that the cost of computing and tracking *collateral savings* for a contract will exceed the benefits to be derived, the *contracting officer* shall use the clause with its Alternate I.