15.405 Price negotiation.

- (a) The purpose of performing cost or *price* analysis is to develop a negotiation position that permits the *contracting officer* and the *offeror* an opportunity to reach agreement on a fair and reasonable *price*. A fair and reasonable *price* does not require that agreement be reached on every element of cost, nor is it mandatory that the agreed *price* be within the *contracting officer*'s initial negotiation position. Taking into consideration the advisory recommendations, reports of contributing specialists, and the current status of the contractor's purchasing system, the *contracting officer* is responsible for exercising the requisite judgment needed to reach a negotiated settlement with the *offeror* and is solely responsible for the final *price* agreement. However, when significant audit or other specialist recommendations are not adopted, the *contracting officer should* provide rationale that supports the negotiation result in the *price* negotiation documentation.
- (b) The contracting officer's primary concern is the overall price the Government will actually pay. The contracting officer's objective is to negotiate a contract of a type and with a price providing the contractor the greatest incentive for efficient and economical performance. The negotiation of a contract type and a price are related and should be considered together with the issues of risk and uncertainty to the contractor and the Government. Therefore, the contracting officer should not become preoccupied with any single element and should balance the contract type, cost, and profit or fee negotiated to achieve a total result-a price that is fair and reasonable to both the Government and the contractor.
- (c) The Government's cost objective and proposed *pricing* arrangement directly affect the profit or fee objective. Because profit or fee is only one of several interrelated variables, the *contracting* officer shall not agree on profit or fee without concurrent agreement on cost and type of contract.
- (d) If, however, the contractor insists on a *price* or demands a profit or fee that the *contracting officer* considers unreasonable, and the *contracting officer* has taken all authorized actions (including determining the feasibility of developing an alternative source) without success, the *contracting officer* shall refer the contract action to a level above the *contracting officer*. Disposition of the action *should* be documented.

Parent topic: Subpart 15.4 - Contract Pricing